G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

P.P 6-9(25,30,40&56) 1919-20.

(P.P)

Later references.

File No. 6-9.

Serial Nos. 189

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. Ore

Part B for Dec'r 19

SUBJECT.

Food Supply arrangement.

G. R. S. III—1] 1919-20

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(A.A)

NOTES.

File No. 6-19

FILE HEADING.

Food Supply arrangements.

From

The Deputy Commissioner Mysore District

No. F. 64 Dated 25.12.19 Received 29.12.19

Diary No.	11156	Enclos.	Spare Copies.	Serial No. 189

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Adverting to this Office letter no F. 5702 of 4.9.1919, states that Krishnappa has filed a suit against the D.C in O.S. 456 of 19-20 on the file of the Ist Munsiff Mysore. For a copy of the plaint received from the Court and states that he has requested the public prosecutor to take necessary legal steps in the matter.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Submitted

29/12/19 Dewan)

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Recd. on DEC 30 1919
Reg. No. 4401

Secy
Perl
31.12.19.

Rao
29-12-19

NOTES—First Sheet.

OFFICE OF THE POLITICAL BRANCH
3 JAN 1920

11186 P.P.6-19 & 189

DIARY NO.....



Office of the Deputy Commissioner,

Mysore District,

Dated Mysore the 23rd December 1919.

From

P.F.Bowring, Esquire,

Deputy Commissioner,

Mysore District.

To

C.S.Balasumdaram Iyer, Esq.,B.A.,

Chief Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Bangalore.

Sir,

Adverting to your letter No.G.5702 P.P.6-19-56 dated the 4th September 1919 regarding the claim of one Krishnappa of Ganjam, Seringapatam taluk towards the cost of 156 pallas of rice supplied by him, I have the honor to state that Krishnappa has filed a suit against the Deputy Commissioner in O.S.No.456 of 19-20 on the file of the 1st Munsiff Mysore. A copy of the plaint received from the Court is herewith forwarded for the information of Government. As already stated in your letter under reference I have requested the Public Prosecutor to take necessary legal steps in the matter. The case stands posted to 5th January 1920.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

V C Vamadevan

For Deputy Commissioner,

Mysore District.

(ABSENT FROM STATION)

CHIEF SECRETARY'S OFFICE
POLITICAL BRANCH
27 DEC. 1919 191

WD 0463—GPB—8,00,000—21-7-17—B.

From

The District Magistrate,
Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District

To

The Chief Secretary to Govt
of Mysore, Bangalore.

Dated 24/12/19

Despatched 25/12/19

Received

General No. F 64 — 19-20

No.

Enclosure No. /

Re the claim of one Krishnappa of Ganjam, Seringapatam towards the cost of 156 pallas of rice supplied by him.

IN THE COURT OF THE FIRST MUNSIFF M Y S O R E.

O.S.No.456 -19-20.

Plaintiff. Defendant

Krishnappa alias Papanna Vs. Deputy Commissioner.

Under ~~XXXX~~ O.R. C.P.C. The Plaintiff begs to state as follows:

1. That Plaintiff is a Commission Agent dealingto a considerable extent in rice which he purchases from his constituents and sells to wholesale purchasers for commission.

2. That on 26th Novembe 1918 the plaintiff had loaded one Railway waggon and was loading another with 156 pallas of rice altogether at Srirangapatam Railway station for consignment to one Yele Siddalingasetty of Bangalore City in pursuance of an agreement to supply 500 bags of rice for commission at 2% on ~~on~~ the price. The said Siddalinga Setty had secured priority certificates for waggons from the office of Food Comtroller.

3. The Deputy Commissioner of Mysore Mr.T.Chokkanna desired that the plaintiff should make over to the ~~Palace~~ Palace the said 156 bags on the same terms as had been entered into between the plaintiff and Yele Siddalinga Setty as the same was wanted for the Palace purposes. The plaintiff acceded to the said officer's wishes hoping to be able to obtain the approval of Siddalingasetty to the same.

4. The plaintiff was asked by the said officer to go to Mysore with a patti or list of the details of the amount due to him in respect of the said rice. The plaintiff accordingly produced a list of patty showing the actual prices at which he had purchased from his constituents and the commission due to him.

5. In spite of repeated demands made by the plaintiff and registered notices dated 30th January 1919 and 27th May 1919 the plaintiff did not receive payment of the amount due to him. But the Deputy Commissioner of Mysore Mr. Bowring by his letter dated 2nd June 1919 stated in reply to the notice of the plaintiff dated 27th May 1919 that the said rice had been commandeered

commandeered and offered payment at the then commandeering rate at Rs.19/- per palla.

6. The plaintiff emphatically denies that the rice was commandeered under the Defence of India Regulations as extended to Mysore or under any other law in as much as no notice or intimation to that effect had been served on the plaintiff nor was the proceedure prescribed the regulation adopted or followed.

7. Ultimately on 12th June 1919 the plaintiff received under protest the sum of Rupees 3120/- without prejudice to his rights to get more. The plaintiff issued notice for the balance on 12th June 1919.

8. The plaintiff is entitled to Rs.4024-4-6 minus Rs. 3120-0-0 or Rs.904-4-6 with interest at 1% mensem on Rs. 4024-4-6 from 26th November 1918 to 12th June 1919 and on Rs.~~904-4-6~~ 904-4-6 from 12th June 1919 till date of suit or Rs.311/- ie. Rs.1215-4-6 in all.

9. The cause of action for this suit arose on or about 26th November 1918 when the rice was purchased at Seringapatam As the defendant resides at Mysore, being his head quarters this suit is filed in this Court which has jurisdiction.

10. The plaintiff has given the necessary notices under section 80 ~~Criminal~~ Civil Procedure Code on 30th January 1919, 27th May 1919 and 10th June 1919.

11. The plaintiff prays for a decree against the defendant for Rs.1215-4-6 and all costs of the suit.

Sd/-

For Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.